

# **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D  ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112605 DATE: <u>1/26/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>915</u>	DEPART:	1130	
FACILITY NAME: SOUTHERN GROUTS AND	MORTARS			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1505 SW 2nd Place	e			
POMPANO BEAC	CH 33069-3202			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/7/2007 / 5/7/20 (effective date) (end d	012	PHONE: (954)943-2288 Mobile: (954)614-8389 PHONE: Mobile:		
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Patrick Occ  Brief Notes:	_		(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still PATRICK (If no, who is?:	OCONNOR?		⊠ Yes □No	
If different, did the facility provide an administrat  3. Is the facility contact still?  If no, who is?:			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			<ul> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐No</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐No</li> </ul>	

## Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each o	only one
	Date of last inspection: $\underline{12/19/2011}$	box for each c	question)
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		∐ No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	⊠ Yes	∐ No
	operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 12/15/2010	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	( 1	
IA	enclosed storage and conveying equipment		only one
	one of the first o	box for each of	question)
1	Was a visible amissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	✓ Vac	□ No
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	∐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	ĭ Yes	∐ No
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?  If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ ) and go to	Yes	☐ No
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?  2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate.	☐ Yes	☐ No
	duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	- Yes	☐ No
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> </ul>	Yes	☐ No
	d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

# **Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions**

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
	Date of last inspection: 12/19/2011  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes	□ No ⊠ No ⊠ No	
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:	ied		
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		☐ No	
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No	
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes	☐ No	
	particulate matter from stock piles?	- Yes	☐ No	
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Yes	□ No	
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

~			ĺ
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each o	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal propared that the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consums for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr nption	? □ No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable box i	neck 🗹 only one for each question)	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (A	f only stationary, skip the following ques	stion 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	_	Yes 🗌 No	
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific</li> </ul>	prior to changing location?	Yes 🗌 No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:			
<ul><li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?</li><li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it</li></ul>	<u> </u>	Yes  No	
co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes No No	
<u>CHANGES</u>		neck 🗹 only one for each question)	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	the facility or authorized representative non of the facility or any emissions units or nistrative change at the facility?	-	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. A change in ownership?	tantially different?	Yes         ⋈           Yes         ⋈           No         Yes           Yes         ⋈           No         Yes	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		l Yes 🗌 No	
Elizabeth F.Susky	01/26/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	1/26/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	n	

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection conducted on 1/26/2012, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at Southern Grouts and Mortars. The facility has 14 silos linked to four separate dust collectors. The also have a back up dust collector, that is not frequently used. Mr. Francis Mofu from South Florida Environmental was present during the inspection and conducted a Visible Emissions testing. The test went well and 0.0% emissions were observed. Mr. Pat O'Connor was also present during the inspection. Mr. O'Connor states that the facility has plans to use a sand dryer, however that equipment will be operating under a neighboring facility which is owned by Southern Grouts and Mortars (R and P Minnerals Permit # 0112730).